

## Changes to FS rules 05

### Clarification of centrepoint definition

2.6 A subgroup's centerpoint: is one of the following:

- 1) The defined grip, or the geometric centre of the defined grips within a subgroup within linked jumpers.
- 2) The geometric centre of an individual's torso.

### Clarification so that use of inappropriate lenses etc can not be an NV

2.14 NV: Formations, inters, or total separations not visible on screen due to meteorological conditions, or factors relating to the Videographers equipment that can not be controlled.

### Scoring changes: no penalty for infringements

#### Integration of the NJ and infringements

2.10 Scoring formation: is a formation which is correctly completed and clearly presented either as a random formation or within a block sequence as depicted in the dive pool, and which, apart from the first formation after exit, must be preceded by a correctly completed and clearly presented total separation or inter, as appropriate.

2.11 Infringement: is one of the following:

- 1) An incorrect or incomplete formation which is followed within working time by either
  - a total separation or,
  - an inter, whether correct or not.
- 2) A correctly completed formation preceded by an incorrect inter or incorrect total separation.
- 3) A formation, inter, or total separation not clearly presented.

*Delete NJ definition*

### Definition of omission

2.12 Omission is one of the following:

- 1) A formation or inter missing from the drawn sequence
- 2) No clear intent to build the correct formation or inter is seen but another formation or inter is presented and there is an advantage to the team resulting from the substitution.

4.8.2 For each omission three points will be deducted.

4.8.3 If an infringement in the scoring formation of a block sequence is carried into the inter (ref. 2.8), this will be considered as one infringement only, provided that the intent of the inter requirements for the next formation is clearly presented and no other infringement occurs in the inter.

5.6 A majority of Judges must agree in the evaluation in order to;

- credit the scoring formation, or
- assign an omission, or
- determine an NV situation

5.7 If the Judges use a score sheet to record their evaluation, they will operate their own chronometer and they will use the signs below. In this case the score sheets of all judges must be collected immediately after the judges have scored the jump for evaluation by the scoring section. The results of the evaluation will be checked by at least one judge.

Situation:

- Correct scoring formation...../.
- Infringement..... 0.
- Omission.....X.
- NV situation.....NV.
- End of Working Time.....//.

#### Rejudgement of jump(new)

##### 4.11 Rejudgement

Competitors may request to have one of their own competition jumps rejudged. The request must be made in writing in English to the Chief Judge within 30 minutes of the score of the jump in question being posted and shall be accompanied by a rejudgement fee of US \$100. Once a request for rejudgement has been received by the Chief Judge it may not be withdrawn and the rejudgement will take place. This rejudgement is only permitted once for each team during a competition. Rejudgements fees shall be retained by the IPC.

#### Clarifies only one judges review can occur for any jump

5.4 The Judges will watch the video evidence of each jump to a maximum of three times at normal speed. If, after the viewings are completed, and within fifteen seconds of the knowledge of the result, the Chief Judge, Event Judge or any Judge on the panel considers that an absolutely incorrect assessment has occurred, the Chief Judge or Event Judge will direct that only that part(s) of the jump in question be reviewed. If the review results in a unanimous decision by the Judges on the part(s) of the performance in question, the score for the jump will be adjusted accordingly. Only one review is permitted for each jump.

#### Freeze frame the same for all viewings

5.5 The Judges will use the electronic scoring system to record their evaluation of the performance. The judges may correct their evaluation record after the jump has been judged. Corrections to the evaluation record can only be made before the Chief Judge signs the score sheet. At the end of working time, freeze frame will be applied on each viewing, based on the timing taken from the first viewing only.

Videographers position in delegation and team. The Committee will ask the IPC Plenary for direction in 2006 and this will be implemented in 2007 if it results in a change.

6.3.6.4 Videographers must be entered for each team as part of the delegation. The evaluation process for the video evidence will be the same for any Videographer.

Videographers may be one of the following:

A) One of the team in which case they may only jump as a Videographer and they will be eligible to receive awards and medals, or

B) Any other person. This Videographer is not eligible to receive awards and medals. In this case there may be no more than five (5) competitors in a 4-Way team and no more than nine (9) competitors in an 8-Way team. This Videographer may jump only as a Videographer and is subject to the same regulations as other competitors on the team.

Option B is valid for 2005 and 2006 only.

A Videographer may be replaced at any time during the competition, (with the agreement of the Meet Director) in which case the replacement Videographer will not be eligible for awards and medals.